PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 665201 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2005/014678 10.08.2005 10.08.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant SANBO SHINDO KOGYO KABUSHIKI KAISHA This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/014678

Box	x No. I Basis of this opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:
1	
1	•

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/014678

			tle 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; oporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	2, 3, 6-13	YES
		Claims	1, 4, 5	NO
Inventive step (IS)		Claims	6-13	YES
		Claims	1-5	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims		NO.

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP 56-90944 A (Furukawa Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha), 23 July 1981

Document 2: JP 4-99837 A (Japan Energy Corp.), 31 March 1992

Since the inventions of claims 1, 4, and 5 are described in document 1 (page 2, upper right column, lines 5-13, Table 1) cited in the ISR, they do not appear to possess novelty or to involve an inventive step.

The inventions of claims 2 and 3 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 and document 2 (claims, page 2, lower left column, lines 2-8) cited in the ISR.

Adding alloy content described in document 2 when producing copper alloy described in document 1 would be easy for a person skilled in the art.

The inventions of claims 6-13 are neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor are they obvious to a person skilled in the art.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTHOR	HTY	ANS,				
То:			1	PCT PCT			
·				RITTEN OPINION OF THE TIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
,		. •	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	,,			
Applicant's or agent's file r	eference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION			
665201				See paragraph 2 below			
International application N	o.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/JP2005/	014678	10.08.2005		10.08.2004			
International Patent Classi	fication (IPC) or bot	h national classification an	nd IPC				
:							
		•	•				
Applicant		· ·					
SANBO SHIND	O KOGYO K	ABUSHIKI KAI	SHA				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
This opinion con	tains indications rela	ating to the following item	s:				
Box No.	I Basis of the	opinion					
Box No.	II Priority						
Box No.	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No.	IV Lack of uni	ty of invention					
Box No.		tatement under Rule 43bis y; citations and explanatio		novelty, inventive step or industrial stement			
Box No.	VI Certain doc	Certain documents cited					
Box No.	VII Certain def	Certain defects in the international application					
Box No.		ervations on the internatio	•				
	Caran obs		- approximation				
2. FURTHER AC	TION	•					
International Pre than this one to	liminary Examining be the IPEA and the	Authority ("IPEA") excep	pt that this does not ap d the International Bu	ill be considered to be a written opinion of the oply where the applicant chooses an Authority other areau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of			
written reply to PCT/ISA/220 or	gether, where appro before the expiration	priate, with amendments, n of 22 months from the pi	, before the expiratio	A, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA and of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later.			
For further optio	ns, see Form PCT/IS	SA/220.					
3. For further detail	ls, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address	of the ISA/JP		Authorized officer				
Facsimile No.			Telephone No.				

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/014678

Вох	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With	h regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was I, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed action, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	litional comments:
		•
		•
	•	

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/014678

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	2,	3,	6-13	YES
		Claims	1,	4,	5	NO
Inventive step (IS)		Claims	6-1	13		YES
		Claims	1-5	5		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)		1-1	13		YES
		Claims				NO
l	•					

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP 56-90944 A (Furukawa Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha), 23 July 1981

Document 2: JP 4-99837 A (Japan Energy Corp.), 31 March 1992

Since the inventions of claims 1, 4, and 5 are described in document 1 (page 2, upper right column, lines 5-13, Table 1) cited in the ISR, they do not appear to possess novelty or to involve an inventive step.

The inventions of claims 2 and 3 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 and document 2 (claims, page 2, lower left column, lines 2-8) cited in the ISR.

Adding alloy content described in document 2 when producing copper alloy described in document 1 would be easy for a person skilled in the art.

The inventions of claims 6-13 are neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor are they obvious to a person skilled in the art.

特許協力条約

発信人 日本国特許庁 (国際調査機関)

代理人

河宮 治

様

REC'D 1 5 SEP 2005

WIPO

PCT

あて名

〒540-0001

日本国大阪府大阪市中央区城見1丁目3番7号IM Pビル 青山特許事務所

PCT 国際調査機関の見解書 (法施行規則第40条の2) [PCT規則 43 の 2.1]

今後の手続きについては、下配2を参照すること。

発送日

(日.月.年)

出願人又は代理人

国際出願番号

の書類記号

665201

国際出願日

優先日

(日.月.年) 10.08.2004

国際特許分類 (IPC) Int.Cl. 7C22C9/00, 9/04, 18/00, 18/02, 1/02, B22D1/00, 21/00, 27/20

PCT/JP2005/014678 (日.月.年) 10.08.2005

出願人(氏名又は名称)

三宝伸銅工業株式会社

- 1. この見解書は次の内容を含む。
 - ▼ 第 Ⅰ 欄 見解の基礎
 - 第Ⅱ欄 優先権
 - 第Ⅲ欄 新規性、進歩性又は産業上の利用可能性についての見解の不作成
 - 第Ⅳ個 発明の単一性の欠如
 - 第V柵 PCT規則 43 の・2.1(a)(i)に規定する新規性、進歩性又は産業上の利用可能性についての見解、 それを裏付けるための文献及び説明
 - 第VI欄 ある種の引用文献
 - 第VII棡 国際出願の不備
 - 第四個 国際出願に対する意見
- 2. 今後の手続き

国際予備審査の請求がされた場合は、出願人がこの国際調査機関とは異なる国際予備審査機関を選択し、かつ、その国 際予備審査機関がPCT規 66.1 の 2(b)の規定に基づいて国際調査機関の見解書を国際予備審査機関の見解書とみなさ ない旨を国際事務局に通知していた場合を除いて、この見解告は国際予備審査機関の最初の見解書とみなされる。

この見解音が上記のように国際予備審査機関の見解書とみなされる場合、様式PCT/ISA/220を送付した日か ら3月又は優先日から22月のうちいずれか遅く満了する期限が経過するまでに、出願人は国際予備審査機関に、適当 な場合は補正書とともに、答弁書を提出することができる。

さらなる選択肢は、様式PCT/ISA/220を参照すること。

3. さらなる詳細は、様式PCT/ISA/220の備考を参照すること。

見解告を作成した日

29.08.2005

名称及びあて先

日本国特許庁(ISA/JP) 郵便番号100-8915 東京都千代田区設が関三丁目4番3号 特許庁審査官(権限のある職員)

4 K 9833

河野 一夫

電話番号 03-3581-1101 内線 3435

第Ⅰ概	見解の基礎		
1. ະແ)見解書は、下	記に示す	場合を除くほか、国際出願の言語を基礎として作成された。
	この見解書は、 それは国際調査	 をのため	語による翻訳文を基礎として作成した。 に提出されたPCT規則12.3及び23.1(b)にいう翻訳文の言語である。
2. この 以下	国際出願で開え に基づき見解す	示されか 事を作成	つ
a . 夕	イプ	Г	配列表
		٢	配列表に関連するテーブル
b. フ	オーマット	Г	杏面
		Γ	コンピュータ読み取り可能な形式
c. 提	出時期	г	出願時の国際出願に含まれる
		Г	この国際出願と共にコンピュータ読み取り可能な形式により提出された
		Γ	出願後に、調査のために、この国際調査機関に提出された
7	さらに、配列表 た配列が出願明 あった。	そ又は配 持に提出	列表に関連するテーブルを提出した場合に、出願後に提出した配列若しくは追加して提出し した配列と同一である旨、又は、出願時の開示を超える事項を含まない旨の陳述書の提出が
4. 補足	意見:		
			\cdot

 第V欄 新規性、進歩性又は産業上の利用可能性についてのPCT規則 43 の 2.1(a) (i) に定める見解、それを要付る文献及び説明

 1. 見解

 新規性 (N)
 請求の範囲 1.4.5
 有 無

 進歩性 (IS)
 請求の範囲 1.5
 有 無

 産業上の利用可能性 (IA)
 請求の範囲 請求の範囲 1.13
 有 無

2. 文献及び説明

文献 1: JP 56-90944 A (古河金属工業株式会社) 1981.07.23

文献 2: JP 4-99837 A (日本鉱業株式会社) 1992.03.31

請求の範囲1,4,5に係る発明は、国際調査報告で引用された文献1の第2頁右上 欄第5-13行,第1表に記載されているので、新規性、進歩性を有しない。

請求の範囲 2,3 に係る発明は、文献1と国際調査報告で引用された文献2(特許請求の範囲,第2頁左下欄,第2-8行)とにより進歩性を有しない。

文献1に記載された銅合金を製造する際に、文献2に記載の合金成分を添加することは、当業者にとって容易である。

請求の範囲 6-13 に係る発明は、国際調査報告に引用されたいずれの文献にも記載されておらず、当業者にとって自明なものでもない。